

day 40 pg 1

Most materials nonmagnetic. Then $\vec{M} = 0$
 $\vec{H} = \frac{\vec{B}}{\mu_0}$

Last eqn $\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J}_{ext} + \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}$

Dielectric function

Write $\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \vec{E} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \vec{E}$ (= $\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r E + P$
= $\epsilon_0 E + \epsilon_0 \chi_e E$
= $\epsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e) E$
So $\epsilon_r = 1 + \chi_e$)
↓ permittivity ↳ dielectric const,
relative permittivity

If no extra charges/currents:

① $\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 0$
② $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$
③ $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$
④ $\nabla \times \vec{B} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$

Speed of Light:

$\nabla \times \textcircled{3} : \nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \vec{B})$
 $\nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \nabla^2 \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t})$
↓

$\nabla^2 \vec{E} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2}$

Wave eqn!

1D: $\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2}$

try $E = E_0 \cos(kx - \omega t) = E_0 e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$

$-k^2 E = \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0 (-\omega^2) E$

$\frac{\omega^2}{k^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0}$

$v = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0}} = \frac{c}{n}$

dy 40 pg 2

$$\text{From } B = B_0 \cos(kx - \omega t) = B_0 c \quad ; (kx - \omega t)$$

get same result,

$$\text{and also } B_0 = \frac{1}{v} \cdot E_0 = \frac{1}{c/n} E_0$$

test: in space $\epsilon_r = 1$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8.85 \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7}}} = 2.998 \cdot 10^8 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}} \checkmark$$

Back in 123 waves on a string, find

$$r = \frac{V_2 - V_1}{V_2 + V_1} \quad \text{ratio of amplitude,}$$

$$R = |r|^2 \quad \text{ratio of intensity/power}$$

Something here!

$$r = \frac{\frac{v_1}{n_2} - \frac{v_2}{n_1}}{\frac{v_1}{n_2} + \frac{v_2}{n_1}} = \frac{\frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1 n_2}}{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2}} = \boxed{\frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1 + n_2}}$$

or, if air \rightarrow glass

$$R = \left(\frac{1 - n}{1 + n} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{n - 1}{n + 1} \right)^2$$

Measure $R \rightarrow$ can deduce n

day 40 pg 3

What about absorption? Previous wave equation gave solns like $\cos(kx - \omega t)$

which extends over all space + time + never decays.

Absorption comes from conductivity + friction

electrons \longleftrightarrow

+ lose energy due to ohmic heating

conductive: then $\vec{J} = \sigma \vec{E}$ Ohm's law, already discussed

Side note: really $\vec{J} = \sigma \vec{E}$
as $\vec{D} = \epsilon \vec{E}$

Then Eqn 4 = $\nabla \times B = \mu_0 (\sigma \vec{E}) + \mu_0 \epsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$

take $\nabla \times (\nabla \times E)$ again ...

$\nabla^2 E = \mu_0 \epsilon \nabla^2 E + \mu_0 \sigma \frac{\partial E}{\partial t}$

↑
damping!

Looking ahead ... solns now like

$e^{-kz} \cdot \cos(kz - \omega t)$

How to represent? Still can use complex numbers

$e^{-kz} e^{i(kz - \omega t)}$

$e^{i((k + ik)z - \omega t)}$

↑
write $\tilde{k} = k + ik$

↓
complex wave number!

Just a math. trick to represent damping

Now guess $\vec{E} = \vec{E}_0 e^{i(\vec{k}t - \omega t)}$

Wave eqn: $-\vec{k}^2 \vec{E} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r (-\omega^2) \vec{E} + \mu_0 \sigma (-i\omega) \vec{E}$

$$\vec{k}^2 = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \omega^2 + (\mu_0 \sigma \omega) i$$

To find \vec{k} , need to take square root.

How to do square root of eg $3+4i$?

write in polar form

$$3+4i = r e^{i\theta} \quad r = \sqrt{3^2+4^2} = 5$$

$$= 5 e^{i(53.13^\circ)} \quad \theta = \tan^{-1}(\frac{4}{3})$$

Then $\sqrt{5 e^{i53.13^\circ}} = \sqrt{5} e^{i \frac{53.13^\circ}{2}}$

$$= \sqrt{5} \cos(\frac{53.13^\circ}{2}) + i \sqrt{5} \sin(\frac{53.13^\circ}{2})$$

Do that for the \vec{k}^2 equation above.

Result:


$$\vec{k} = \omega \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0}{2}} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \omega}\right)^2} + 1 \right]^{1/2}$$

$$+ i \omega \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \mu_0}{2}} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r \omega}\right)^2} - 1 \right]^{1/2}$$

k_{real}

K (kappa)

describes how far wave penetrates (decay constant)



More complex #'s?

$$v = \frac{\omega}{k} \rightarrow \text{use } v = \frac{\omega}{k_{real}}$$

$$\frac{c}{n} = \frac{\omega}{k} \rightarrow n = \frac{ck}{\omega} \rightarrow \text{use } \vec{n} = \frac{c}{\omega} \vec{k}$$

$$\vec{n} = n_{real} + i n_{imag}$$

"k" of course (15)

Complex: lower case, k: ital: upper case
k: ital: $\vec{n} \leftrightarrow N$

Previous eqn: $R = \frac{n-1}{n+1} \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_r} - 1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r} + 1} \rightarrow \frac{n + ik - 1}{n + ik + 1}$

$n = \sqrt{\epsilon_r} \rightarrow \epsilon_r = n^2$
 $\rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}_r = (\tilde{n})^2$

Kramers-Kronig relations (ch 15)

Theorem: whenever you have a complex "response function", in order to guarantee the response happens after the stimulus,
 \downarrow
 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho$ \downarrow $i\epsilon \vec{E}$

The real + imaginary components of the function have to be related to each other, specifically, for dielectric const

$(\epsilon_r(\omega))_{\text{real}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\omega' (\epsilon_r(\omega'))_{\text{imag}} d\omega'}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2} \right]$

and $(\epsilon_r(\omega))_{\text{imag}} = -\frac{2\omega}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \left[\int \frac{(\epsilon_r(\omega'))_{\text{real}} d\omega'}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2} \right]$

derivation found in Jackson, 3rd ed

"Cauchy principle value" related to contour integrals of complex numbers. That's all I'll say

You measure eg absorption vs ω for a large number of ω 's and you can calculate reflectivity. or vice versa